arXiv:1405.1108v3 [math.AP] 17 Mar 2015

Existence and nondegeneracy of ground states in critical free boundary problems*

Yang Yang†
School of Science
Jiangnan University
Wuxi, 214122, China

Kanishka Perera
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901, USA

Abstract

Existence and regularity of minimizers in elliptic free boundary problems have been extensively studied in the literature. The corresponding study of higher critical points was recently initiated in Jerison and Perera [30, 31]. In particular, the existence and nondegeneracy of a mountain pass point in a superlinear and subcritical free boundary problem related to plasma confinement was proved in [30]. In this paper we study ground states of a critical free boundary problem related to the Brézis-Nirenberg problem [5]. We extend the results of [30] to this problem by combining the method introduced there with the concentration compactness principle to overcome the difficulties arising from lack of compactness.

Contents

1 Introduction and main results **2**

2 Nondegeneracy of ground states **8**

MSC2010: Primary 35R35, 35B33, Secondary 35J20

Key Words and Phrases: critical free boundary problems, ground state solutions, existence, nondegeneracy, nondifferentiable energy functional, regularization, concentration compactness, mountain pass theorem, regularity of the free boundary

†Corresponding author

Project supported by NSFC-Tian Yuan Special Foundation (No. 11226116), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China for Young Scholars (No. BK2012109), and the China Scholarship Council (No. 201208320435).

3 A general convergence result **13**

4 Compactness and L^∞ estimates **18**

5 Existence of a ground state **23**

1 Introduction and main results

Existence and regularity of minimizers in elliptic free boundary problems have been extensively studied for over four decades (see, e.g., [32, 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 22, 10, 4, 33, 43, 44, 12, 13, 6, 34, 18] and the references therein). Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ with $\partial\Omega \in C^2$. A typical two-phase free boundary problem seeks a minimizer of the variational integral

$$\int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \chi_{\{u>0\}}(x)\right] dx$$

among all functions $u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ with prescribed values on some portion of the boundary $\partial\Omega$, where $\chi_{\{u>0\}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\{u > 0\}$. A local minimizer u satisfies

$$\Delta u = 0$$

except on the free boundary $\partial\{u > 0\} \cap \Omega$, and

$$|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2$$

on smooth portions of the free boundary, where $\nabla u^\pm$ are the limits of ∇u from $\{u > 0\}$ and $\{u \leq 0\}^\circ$, respectively. The existence and regularity of local minimizers for this problem have been studied, for example, in Alt and Caffarelli [1], Alt, Caffarelli and Friedman [2], Caffarelli, Jerison and Kenig [12, 13], and Weiss [43, 44].

The corresponding study of higher critical points was recently initiated in Jerison and Perera [30, 31]. In particular, the following superlinear and subcritical free boundary problem was studied in [30]:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = (u-1)_+^{p-1} & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \partial\{u > 1\} \\ |\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2 & \text{on } \partial\{u > 1\} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $u_\pm = \max\{\pm u, 0\}$ are the positive and negative parts of u, respectively, $p > 2$ if $N = 2$ and $2 < p < 2^*$ if $N \geq 3$, and $\nabla u^\pm$ are the limits of ∇u from $\{u > 1\}$ and

$\{u \leq 1\}^{\circ}$, respectively. Here $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent when $N \geq 3$. The interest in this problem arises from its applications in plasma physics (see, e.g., [40, 41, 11, 23, 20, 38]). The energy functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \chi_{\{u>1\}}(x) - \frac{1}{p} (u-1)_+^p \right] dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

associated with this problem is nondifferentiable and therefore standard variational methods cannot be used directly to obtain critical points. A regularization procedure was used in [30] to construct a nontrivial and nondegenerate solution u of mountain pass type that satisfies the equation $-\Delta u = (u-1)_+^{p-1}$ in the classical sense in $\Omega \setminus \partial \{u > 1\}$, the free boundary condition $|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2$ in a generalized sense and in the viscosity sense, and vanishes continuously on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, it was shown in [30] that in a neighborhood of every regular point, the free boundary $\partial \{u > 1\}$ is a $C^{1,\alpha}$-surface and hence u satisfies the free boundary condition in the classical sense.

In the present paper we assume that $N \geq 3$ and study the critical free boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda (u-1)_+ + \kappa (u-1)_+^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \partial \{u > 1\} \\ |\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2 & \text{on } \partial \{u > 1\} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda, \kappa > 0$ are parameters. Let $\lambda_1 > 0$ be the first eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Our main result here is that for all $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and sufficiently small $\kappa > 0$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial and nondegenerate solution of mountain pass type. This extension of the result in Jerison and Perera [30] to the critical case is nontrivial. Indeed, the noncompactness of the Sobolev imbedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ presents serious new difficulties. We will overcome these difficulties using concentration compactness techniques.

The solution of problem (1.1) that we construct is a locally Lipschitz continuous function u of class $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial \{u > 1\}) \cap C^2(\Omega \setminus \partial \{u > 1\})$ that satisfies the equation $-\Delta u = \lambda (u-1)_+ + \kappa (u-1)_+^{2^*-1}$ in the classical sense in $\Omega \setminus \partial \{u > 1\}$ and vanishes continuously on $\partial\Omega$. It satisfies the free boundary condition in the following generalized sense: for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u \neq 1$ a.e. on the support of φ,

$$\lim_{\delta^+ \searrow 0} \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - 2 \right) \varphi \cdot n^+ \, dS - \lim_{\delta^- \searrow 0} \int_{\{u=1-\delta^-\}} |\nabla u|^2 \, \varphi \cdot n^- \, dS = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $n^{\pm}$ are the outward unit normals to $\partial\{u > 1 \pm \delta^{\pm}\}$ ($\{u = 1 \pm \delta^{\pm}\}$ are smooth hypersurfaces for a.a. $\delta^{\pm} > 0$ by Sard's theorem and the above limits are taken through such $\delta^{\pm}$). In particular, u satisfies the free boundary condition in the classical sense on any smooth portion of the free boundary $\partial\{u > 1\}$. We will refer to such a function u as a generalized solution of problem (1.1).

If u is a generalized solution of problem (1.1), then by the maximum principle, the set $\{u < 1\}$ is connected and either $u > 0$ everywhere or u vanishes identically. If $u \leq 1$ everywhere, then u is harmonic in Ω and hence vanishes identically again. So if u is a nontrivial solution, then $u > 0$ in Ω and $u > 1$ in a nonempty open subset of Ω, where it satisfies $-\Delta u = \lambda(u-1) + \kappa(u-1)^{2^*-1}$. Multiplying this equation by $u-1$ and integrating over the set $\{u > 1\}$ shows that u lies on the Nehari-type manifold

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx = \kappa \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*} \, dx > 0 \right\}.$$

The variational functional associated with problem (1.1) is

$$J(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \chi_{\{u>1\}}(x) - \frac{\lambda}{2} (u-1)_+^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2^*} (u-1)_+^{2^*} \right] dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

For $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{u<1\}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{\kappa}{N} \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*} \, dx + |\{u > 1\}| > 0,$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We will refer to a generalized solution of problem (1.1) that minimizes $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ as a ground state.

A generalized solution u of problem (1.1) is said to be nondegenerate if there exist constants r_0, $c > 0$ such that if $x_0 \in \{u > 1\}$ and $r := \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \{u \leq 1\}) \leq r_0$, then

$$u(x_0) \geq 1 + c\, r.$$

First we prove that ground states are nondegenerate. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If u is a locally Lipschitz continuous minimizer of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$, then u is nondegenerate.*

We recall that $u \in C(\Omega)$ satisfies the free boundary condition $|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2$ in the viscosity sense if whenever there exist a point $x_0 \in \partial\{u > 1\}$, a ball $B \subset \{u > 1\}$ (resp. $\{u \leq 1\}^\circ$) with $x_0 \in \partial B$, and α (resp. γ) ≥ 0 such that

$$u(x) \geq 1 + \alpha \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle_+ + o(|x - x_0|) \ \text{ (resp. } u(x) \leq 1 - \gamma \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle_- + o(|x - x_0|))$$

in B, where ν is the interior (resp. exterior) unit normal to ∂B at x_0, we have

$$u(x) < 1 - \gamma \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle_- + o(|x - x_0|) \ \text{ (resp. } u(x) > 1 + \alpha \langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle_+ + o(|x - x_0|))$$

in B^c for any γ (resp. α) ≥ 0 such that $\alpha^2 - \gamma^2 >$ (resp. $<$) 2. Recall also that the point $x_0 \in \partial\{u > 1\}$ is regular if there exists a unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$, called the interior unit normal to the free boundary $\partial\{u > 1\}$ at x_0 in the measure theoretic sense, such that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r^N} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \chi_{\{u>1\}}(x) - \chi_{\{\langle x - x_0, \nu \rangle > 0\}}(x) \right| dx = 0.$$

Nondegeneracy will allow us to apply recent regularity results of Lederman and Wolanski [34] to show that the ground state we construct satisfies the free boundary condition in the viscosity sense, and that near regular points the free boundary is a smooth surface and hence this condition holds in the classical sense.

We turn to constructing a ground state. The functional J has the mountain pass geometry. Let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H_0^1(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0,\ J(\gamma(1)) < 0 \right\}$$

be the class of paths joining 0 and the set $\left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : J(u) < 0 \right\}$, and set

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma([0,1])} J(u). \tag{1.3}$$

Recall that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a mountain pass point of J if the set $\{v \in U : J(v) < J(u)\}$ is neither empty nor path connected for every neighborhood U of u (see Hofer [29]). We will construct a ground state of mountain pass type at the level c when $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and $\kappa > 0$ is sufficiently small. The main existence result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Given $\lambda_* > \lambda_1$, there exists a constant $\kappa_* > 0$, depending only on λ_* and Ω, such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ and $0 < \kappa < \kappa_*$, there exists a positive ground state solution u of problem* (1.1) *satisfying*

- (*i*) *u is a mountain pass point of J at the level $c > 0$,*
- (*ii*) *u is nondegenerate,*
- (*iii*) *u satisfies the free boundary condition in the viscosity sense,*
- (*iv*) *in a neighborhood of every regular point, the free boundary $\partial\{u > 1\}$ is a $C^{1,\alpha}$-surface and u satisfies the free boundary condition in the classical sense.*

The existence result in the theorem does not follow from a routine application of the mountain pass theorem and the concentration compactness principle due to the lack of smoothness of J. Indeed, J is not even continuous, much less of class C^1. We will obtain our solution as the limit of mountain pass points of a suitable sequence of C^1-functionals

approximating J as in Jerison and Perera [30, 31]. However, we will carry out this regularization procedure for a general nonlinearity that admits critical growth. Consider the free boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u-1) & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \partial\{u>1\} \\ |\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2 & \text{on } \partial\{u>1\} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where f is a locally Hölder continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(f_1) $f(t) = 0$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \le 0$,

(f_2) $\exists\, C > 0$ such that $|f(t)| \le C\left(t^{2^*-1} + 1\right)$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$.

The variational functional associated with this problem is

$$J(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \chi_{\{u>1\}}(x) - F(u-1)\right] dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)\,ds$. Let $\beta : \mathbb{R} \to [0,2]$ be a smooth function such that $\beta(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$, $\beta(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < 1$, $\beta(t) = 0$ for $t \ge 1$, and $\int_0^1 \beta(s)\,ds = 1$. Set

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s)\,ds,$$

and note that $\mathcal{B} : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is a smooth nondecreasing function such that $\mathcal{B}(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$, $\mathcal{B}(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < 1$, and $\mathcal{B}(t) = 1$ for $t \ge 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$J_\varepsilon(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u-1}{\varepsilon}\right) - F(u-1)\right] dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and note that J_ε is of class C^1. We will prove the following convergence result, which is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume (f_1) and (f_2). Let $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ and let u_j be a critical point of J_{ε_j} at the level c_j. If (u_j) is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, then there exists a locally Lipschitz continuous function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\{u>1\}) \cap C^2(\Omega \setminus \partial\{u>1\})$ such that, for a renamed subsequence,*

(i) $u_j \to u$ *uniformly on* $\overline{\Omega}$,

(ii) $u_j \to u$ *strongly in* $H_0^1(\Omega)$,

(*iii*) $J(u) \le \liminf c_j \le \limsup c_j \le J(u) + |\{u = 1\}|$, *in particular,* u *is nontrivial if* $\limsup c_j > 0$.

Moreover, u *satisfies the equation* $-\Delta u = f(u-1)$ *in the classical sense in* $\Omega \backslash \partial \{u > 1\}$, *the free boundary condition* $|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2$ *in the generalized sense* (1.2), *and vanishes on* $\partial\Omega$. *If, in addition,* u *is nondegenerate, then* u *satisfies the free boundary condition in the viscosity sense and in a neighborhood of every regular point, the free boundary* $\partial \{u > 1\}$ *is a* $C^{1,\alpha}$*-surface and* u *satisfies the free boundary condition in the classical sense.*

Returning to problem (1.1), we have

$$J_\varepsilon(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u-1}{\varepsilon} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} (u-1)_+^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2^*} (u-1)_+^{2^*} \right] dx.$$

Recall that J_ε satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, or $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ condition for short, if every sequence $(u_j) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $J_\varepsilon(u_j) \to c$ and $J'_\varepsilon(u_j) \to 0$, called a $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ sequence, has a convergent subsequence. In order to obtain a critical point of J_ε by applying the mountain pass theorem, we will first show using the concentration compactness principle of Lions [35, 36] that given any $M > 0$, there exists $\kappa^* > 0$, independent of ε, such that J_ε satisfies the $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ condition for all $c \le M$ if $0 < \kappa < \kappa^*$. Let

$$S = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \backslash \{0\}} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\displaystyle\left(\int_\Omega |u|^{2^*} \, dx \right)^{2/2^*}} \tag{1.4}$$

be the best Sobolev constant for the imbedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4. *Given* $M > 0$, *set*

$$\kappa^* = \left[\frac{S^{N/2}}{N(M + |\Omega|)} \right]^{\frac{2}{N-2}}.$$

If $0 < \kappa < \kappa^*$, *then* J_ε *satisfies the* $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ *condition for all* $c \le M$.

In order to apply Theorem 1.3, we also need uniform H^1 and L^∞ estimates for critical points of J_ε below a given level. If u is a critical point of J_ε with $J_\varepsilon(u) \le M$, then

$$\int_\Omega (u^+)^{2^*} dx \le \frac{N(M + |\Omega|)}{\kappa} \tag{1.5}$$

by Lemma 4.1 in Section 4, which together with the Hölder inequality and $J_\varepsilon(u) \le M$ gives a bound for $\|u\|$ that depends only on N, M, $|\Omega|$, κ and λ. We will prove that there exists $\kappa_* > 0$, independent of ε, such that critical points of J_ε in $\{J_\varepsilon(u) \le M\}$ are also bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ if $0 < \kappa < \kappa_*$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.5. *Given $M > 0$, set*

$$\kappa_* = \left(1 - \frac{4}{N^2}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2}} \kappa^*,$$

where κ^* is as in Proposition 1.4. If $0 < \kappa < \kappa_*$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on M, Ω, κ and λ, but not on $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C$$

whenever u is a critical point of J_ε with $J_\varepsilon(u) \leq M$.

We would like to emphasize that the novelty here is that we extend the results of [30] to the critical exponent case, where the noncompactness of the Sobolev imbedding presents serious new difficulties, by combining the method introduced there with concentration compactness techniques. Many of the arguments in this paper are adapted from [30]. They are repeated here in detail for the sake of completeness and for the convenience of the reader.

In closing the introduction we note that problem (1.1) is also related to the well-studied Brézis-Nirenberg problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + u^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \quad u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In a celebrated paper [5], Brézis and Nirenberg proved, among other things, that this problem has a solution if $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ and $N \geq 4$, and no solution if $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$. This pioneering work has stimulated a vast literature (see, e.g., [15, 14, 3, 28, 24, 42, 16, 19, 25, 27, 26, 17, 39] and the references therein).

Notation. Throughout the paper we write

$$u = (u-1)_+ + [1 - (u-1)_-] =: u^+ + u^-.$$

2 Nondegeneracy of ground states

In this section we prove that ground states are nondegenerate and those that are at the level c are mountain pass points of J, where c is as in (1.3). The manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in the set

$$U = \left\{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : u^\pm \neq 0\right\}.$$

For $u \in U$, consider the curve

$$\zeta_u(s) = \begin{cases} (1+s)\, u^-, & s \in [-1, 0] \\ u^- + s\, u^+, & s \in (0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

which passes through u at $s = 1$. For $s \in [-1, 0]$,

$$J(\zeta_u(s)) = \frac{(1+s)^2}{2} \int_{\{u<1\}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx$$

is increasing in s. For $s \in (0, \infty)$,

$$J(\zeta_u(s)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{u<1\}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{s^2}{2} \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx - \frac{\kappa s^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*} \, dx + |\{u > 1\}| \,. \quad (2.1)$$

Hence

$$\lim_{s \searrow 0} J(\zeta_u(s)) = J(\zeta_u(0)) + |\{u > 1\}| > J(\zeta_u(0))$$

and

$$\frac{d}{ds} J(\zeta_u(s)) = s \left[\int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx - \kappa s^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*} \, dx \right].$$

Set

$$s_u = \left[\frac{\displaystyle\int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx}{\displaystyle\kappa \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*} \, dx} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}.$$

Then $J(\zeta_u(s))$ increases for $s \in (0, s_u)$, attains its maximum at $s = s_u$, decreases for $s \in (s_u, \infty)$, and

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} J(\zeta_u(s)) = -\infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let c be as in* (1.3)*. Then*

$$c \le \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} J(u). \quad (2.3)$$

If $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $J(u) = c$, then u is a mountain pass point of J. In particular, ground states at the level c are mountain pass points of J.

Proof. For each $u \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $s_0 > 1$ such that $J(\zeta_u(s_0)) < 0$ by (2.2). Then

$$\gamma_u(t) = \zeta_u((s_0+1)\,t - 1), \quad t \in [0,1]$$

defines a path $\gamma_u \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\max_{v \in \gamma_u([0,1])} J(v) = J(u),$$

so $c \le J(u)$. (2.3) follows.

Now suppose $J(u) = c$ and let U be a neighborhood of u. The path γ_u passes through u at $t = 2/(s_0+1) =: t_0$ and $J(\gamma_u(t)) < c$ for $t \neq t_0$. By the continuity of γ_u, there exist $0 < t^- < t_0 < t^+ < 1$ such that $\gamma_u(t^\pm) \in U$, in particular, the set $\{v \in U : J(v) < c\}$ is nonempty. If it is path connected, then it contains a path η joining $\gamma_u(t^\pm)$, and reparametrizing $\gamma_u|_{[0,t^-]} \cup \eta \cup \gamma_u|_{[t^+,1]}$ gives a path in Γ on which $J < c$, contradicting the definition of c. So it is not path connected, and u is a mountain pass point of J. □

For $u \in U$, ζ_u intersects $\mathcal{M}$ exactly at one point, namely, where $s = s_u$, and $s_u = 1$ if $u \in \mathcal{M}$. So we can define a (nonradial) continuous projection $\pi : U \to \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\pi(u) = \zeta_u(s_u) = u^- + s_u\, u^+.$$

Since

$$s_u^2 \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\,(u-1)^2 \right] dx = \kappa s_u^{2^*} \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx,$$

we have

$$J(\pi(u)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{u<1\}} |\nabla u|^2\, dx + \frac{s_u^2}{N} \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\,(u-1)^2 \right] dx + |\{u>1\}| \tag{2.4}$$

by (2.1), in particular,

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{u<1\}} |\nabla u|^2\, dx + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\,(u-1)^2 \right] dx + |\{u>1\}| \tag{2.5}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{M}$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $x_0 \in \{u > 1\}$ and let $r := \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \{u \le 1\}) \le 1$. Then let $y = (x - x_0)/r$, let $V = \{y : x \in \Omega\}$, and set

$$v(y) = \frac{u(x) - 1}{r}, \quad y \in V.$$

Since $u(x) > 1$ for all $x \in B_r(x_0)$ and there exists a point $x_1 \in \partial B_r(x_0)$ such that $u(x_1) = 1$,

$$0 < v(y) = \frac{u(x) - u(x_1)}{r} \leq \frac{L\,|x - x_1|}{r} < 2L \quad \forall y \in B_1(0), \tag{2.6}$$

where $L > 0$ is the Lipschitz coefficient of u in $\{u \geq 1\}$. Then

$$-\Delta v = \lambda r^2\, v + \kappa r^{2^*} v^{2^*-1} \quad \text{in } B_1(0),$$

so by the Harnack inequality there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$v(y) \leq C\,(\alpha + r^2) \quad \forall y \in B_{2/3}(0),$$

where

$$\alpha = v(0) = \frac{u(x_0) - 1}{r}.$$

Take a smooth cutoff function $\psi : B_1(0) \to [0,1]$ such that $\psi = 0$ in $\overline{B_{1/3}(0)}$, $0 < \psi < 1$ in $B_{2/3}(0) \setminus \overline{B_{1/3}(0)}$ and $\psi = 1$ in $B_1(0) \setminus B_{2/3}(0)$, let

$$w(y) = \begin{cases} \min\left\{v(y), C\,(\alpha + r^2)\,\psi(y)\right\}, & y \in B_{2/3}(0) \\ v(y), & y \in V \setminus B_{2/3}(0), \end{cases}$$

and set $z(x) = rw(y) + 1$. Since $\{z > 1\} = \{u > 1\} \setminus \overline{B_{r/3}(x_0)}$, $z = 1$ in $\overline{B_{r/3}(x_0)}$, and $z = u$ outside $\mathcal{D} := \left\{x \in B_{2r/3}(x_0) : v(y) \geq C\,(\alpha + r^2)\,\psi(y)\right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_z^{\frac{4}{N-2}} &= \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\{z>1\}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 - \lambda\,(z-1)^2\right] dx}{\displaystyle\kappa \int_{\{z>1\}} (z-1)^{2^*}\, dx} \\ &= \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 - \lambda\,(z-1)^2\right] dx}{\displaystyle\kappa \int_{\{u>1\}} (z-1)^{2^*}\, dx} \\ &= \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\{u>1\}\setminus\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\,(u-1)^2\right] dx + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 - \lambda\,(z-1)^2\right] dx}{\displaystyle\kappa \left(\int_{\{u>1\}\setminus\mathcal{D}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx + \int_{\mathcal{D}} (z-1)^{2^*}\, dx\right)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\,(u-1)^2\right] dx + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 + \lambda\,(u-1)^2\right] dx}{\displaystyle\kappa \left(\int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx - \int_{\mathcal{D}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx \right)}$$

$$= \frac{\displaystyle 1 + \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 + \lambda\,(u-1)^2\right] dx}{\displaystyle\kappa \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx}}{\displaystyle 1 - \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{D}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx}}.$$

We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} |\nabla z|^2\, dx = C^2\,(\alpha + r^2)^2\, r^N \int_{\{y:\, x\in\mathcal{D}\}} |\nabla \psi|^2\, dy = \mathrm{O}(r^N) \quad \text{as } r \to 0 \tag{2.7}$$

since $0 < \alpha < 2L$ by (2.6). As in (2.6), $0 < u - 1 < 2L\,r$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and $|\mathcal{D}| = \mathrm{O}(r^N)$, so

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} (u-1)^2\, dx = \mathrm{O}(r^{2+N}), \qquad \int_{\mathcal{D}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx = \mathrm{O}(r^{2^*+N}). \tag{2.8}$$

It follows that

$$s_z^2 \leq 1 + \frac{N-2}{2\kappa}\, \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{D}} |\nabla z|^2\, dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*}\, dx} + \mathrm{O}(r^{2+N}). \tag{2.9}$$

Since u is a minimizer of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$,

$$J(u) \leq J(\pi(z)).$$

Since $z^- = u^-$, $\{z > 1\} = \{u > 1\} \setminus \overline{B_{r/3}(x_0)}$, and $z = 1$ in $\overline{B_{r/3}(x_0)}$, this inequality reduces to

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\,(u-1)^2\right] dx + \left|B_{r/3}(x_0)\right| \leq \frac{s_z^2}{N} \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 - \lambda\,(z-1)^2\right] dx$$

by (2.4) and (2.5). Since $z = u$ in $\{u > 1\} \setminus \mathcal{D}$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} N\left|B_{1/3}(0)\right| r^N &\leq s_z^2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 - \lambda (z-1)^2 \right] dx + \int_{\{u>1\}\setminus\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx \\ &= s_z^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 - \lambda (z-1)^2 - |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx \\ &\quad + \left(s_z^2 - 1\right) \int_{\{u>1\}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx \\ &\leq s_z^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[|\nabla z|^2 + \lambda (u-1)^2 \right] dx + \kappa \left(s_z^2 - 1\right) \int_{\{u>1\}} (u-1)^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} |\nabla z|^2 \, dx + \mathrm{O}(r^{2+N}) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.8) and (2.9). In view of the first equality in (2.7), this gives r_0, $c > 0$ such that $r \leq r_0$ implies $\alpha \geq c$, which is the desired conclusion. □

3 A general convergence result

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. If u is a critical point of J_ε, then u is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\, \beta\left(\dfrac{u-1}{\varepsilon}\right) + f(u-1) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \\ \quad u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and hence also a classical solution by elliptic regularity theory. By the maximum principle, $u \geq 0$ in Ω. The crucial ingredient in the passage to the limit is the following uniform Lipschitz continuity result, proved in Caffarelli, Jerison, and Kenig [12].

Proposition 3.1 ([12, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Suppose that u is a Lipschitz continuous function on $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying the distributional inequalities*

$$\pm\Delta u \leq A \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\, \chi_{\{|u-1|<\varepsilon\}}(x) + 1 \right)$$

for some constants $A > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on N, A and $\int_{B_1(0)} u^2\,dx$, but not on ε, such that

$$\max_{x \in B_{1/2}(0)} |\nabla u(x)| \le C.$$

Let ε_j and u_j be as in Theorem 1.3. We may assume that $0 < \varepsilon_j \le 1$. Since (u_j) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$|f(u_j(x) - 1)| \le A_0 \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Omega \tag{3.1}$$

for some constant $A_0 > 0$ by (f_1) and (f_2). Then

$$\pm\Delta u_j = \pm\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j}\,\beta\left(\frac{u_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j}\right) \mp f(u_j - 1) \le \frac{2}{\varepsilon_j}\,\chi_{\{|u_j - 1| < \varepsilon_j\}}(x) + A_0$$

since $0 \le \beta \le 2\,\chi_{(-1,1)}$. Since (u_j) is also bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that for each $r > 0$, there exists a constant $C(r) > 0$ such that

$$\max_{x \in B_{r/2}(x_0)} |\nabla u_j(x)| \le C(r) \tag{3.2}$$

whenever $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since (u_j) is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, a renamed subsequence converges weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to some u. Since (u_j) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and uniformly locally Lipschitz continuous by (3.2), a standard diagonalization argument shows that $u_j \to u$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω for a further subsequence, and u is locally Lipschitz continuous. Let $\varphi_0 > 0$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi_0 = A_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \quad \varphi_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where A_0 is as in (3.1). Since

$$-\Delta u_j \le A_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.3}$$

we have

$$0 \le u_j(x) \le \varphi_0(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \tag{3.4}$$

by the maximum principle, so $0 \le u \le \varphi_0$. Hence $|u_j - u| \le \varphi_0$. It follows that u continuously extends to $\overline{\Omega}$ with zero boundary values and $u_j \to u$ uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$.

Next we show that u satisfies the equation $-\Delta u = f(u-1)$ in $\{u \neq 1\}$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\{u > 1\})$. Then $u \geq 1 + 2\,\varepsilon$ on the support of φ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. For all sufficiently large j, $\varepsilon_j < \varepsilon$ and $|u_j - u| < \varepsilon$ in Ω. Then $u_j \geq 1 + \varepsilon_j$ on the support of φ, so testing

$$-\Delta u_j = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j}\,\beta\left(\frac{u_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j}\right) + f(u_j - 1) \tag{3.5}$$

with φ gives

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_\Omega f(u_j - 1)\,\varphi \, dx.$$

Since $u_j \to u$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and uniformly on Ω, passing to the limit gives

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_\Omega f(u-1)\,\varphi \, dx. \tag{3.6}$$

This then holds for all $\varphi \in H_0^1(\{u > 1\})$ by density, and hence u is a classical solution of $-\Delta u = f(u-1)$ in $\{u > 1\}$. A similar argument shows that u is harmonic in $\{u < 1\}$.

Now we show that u is harmonic in $\{u \leq 1\}^\circ$. Testing (3.3) with any nonnegative $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ gives

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \leq A_0 \int_\Omega \varphi \, dx,$$

and passing to the limit gives

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \leq A_0 \int_\Omega \varphi \, dx,$$

so

$$-\Delta u \leq A_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{3.7}$$

in the weak sense. On the other hand, since u is harmonic in $\{u < 1\}$, $\kappa := \Delta(u-1)_-$ is a nonnegative Radon measure supported on $\Omega \cap \partial\{u < 1\}$ by Alt and Caffarelli [1, Remark 4.2], so

$$-\Delta u = \kappa \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \{u \leq 1\}. \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that $u \in W^{2,q}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\{u \leq 1\}^\circ)$, $1 < q < \infty$ and hence κ is actually supported on $\Omega \cap \partial\{u < 1\} \cap \partial\{u > 1\}$, so u is harmonic in $\{u \leq 1\}^\circ$.

We are now ready to prove (ii). By standard regularity arguments, $u_j \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\{u > 1\})$, and $\partial u_j/\partial n \to \partial u/\partial n$ on $\partial\Omega$, where n is the outward unit normal.

Multiplying (3.5) by $u_j - 1$, integrating by parts, and noting that $\beta((t-1)/\varepsilon_j)\,(t-1) \geq 0$ for all t gives

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^2\,dx \leq \int_\Omega f(u_j-1)\,(u_j-1)\,dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial n}\,dS$$

$$\to \int_\Omega f(u-1)\,(u-1)\,dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\,dS \quad (3.9)$$

since $\partial u_j/\partial n \to \partial u/\partial n$ pointwise and $\partial\varphi_0/\partial n \leq \partial u_j/\partial n \leq 0$ by (3.4). Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Taking $\varphi = (u - 1 - \varepsilon)_+$ in (3.6) gives

$$\int_{\{u>1+\varepsilon\}} |\nabla u|^2\,dx = \int_\Omega f(u-1)\,(u-1-\varepsilon)_+\,dx, \quad (3.10)$$

and integrating $(u - 1 + \varepsilon)_-\,\Delta u = 0$ over Ω gives

$$\int_{\{u<1-\varepsilon\}} |\nabla u|^2\,dx = -(1-\varepsilon)\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\,dS. \quad (3.11)$$

Adding (3.10) and (3.11), and letting $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ gives

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\,dx = \int_\Omega f(u-1)\,(u-1)\,dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\,dS,$$

which together with (3.9) gives

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^2\,dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\,dx.$$

Since $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and hence $\|u\| \leq \liminf \|u_j\|$, it follows that $\|u_j\| \to \|u\|$, so $u_j \to u$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

To prove (iii), write

$$J_{\varepsilon_j}(u_j) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}\,|\nabla u_j|^2 + \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u_j-1}{\varepsilon_j}\right)\chi_{\{u\neq 1\}}(x) - F(u_j-1)\right]dx$$

$$+ \int_{\{u=1\}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u_j-1}{\varepsilon_j}\right)dx.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}((u_j-1)/\varepsilon_j)\,\chi_{\{u\neq 1\}}$ converges pointwise to $\chi_{\{u>1\}}$ and is bounded by 1, the first integral converges to $J(u)$ by (i) and (ii), and

$$0 \leq \int_{\{u=1\}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u_j-1}{\varepsilon_j}\right)dx \leq |\{u=1\}|$$

since $0 \leq \mathcal{B} \leq 1$, so (iii) follows.

Now we show that u satisfies the generalized free boundary condition, i.e., for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u \neq 1$ a.e. on the support of φ,

$$\lim_{\delta^+ \searrow 0} \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\}} \left(2 - |\nabla u|^2\right) \varphi \cdot n \, dS - \lim_{\delta^- \searrow 0} \int_{\{u=1-\delta^-\}} |\nabla u|^2 \, \varphi \cdot n \, dS = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

where n is the outward unit normal to $\{1 - \delta^- < u < 1 + \delta^+\}$. Multiplying (3.5) by $\nabla u_j \cdot \varphi$ and integrating over $\{1 - \delta^- < u < 1 + \delta^+\}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \left[-\Delta u_j + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j} \beta\left(\frac{u_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j}\right) - f(u_j - 1) \right] \nabla u_j \cdot \varphi \, dx \\
&= \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \left[\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_j|^2 \, \varphi - (\nabla u_j \cdot \varphi) \nabla u_j \right) + \nabla u_j \, D\varphi \cdot \nabla u_j \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_j|^2 \operatorname{div} \varphi + \nabla \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j}\right) \cdot \varphi - \nabla F(u_j - 1) \cdot \varphi \right] dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\} \bigcup \{u=1-\delta^-\}} \left[|\nabla u_j|^2 \, \varphi - 2 (\nabla u_j \cdot \varphi) \nabla u_j + 2 \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j}\right) \varphi \right] \cdot n \, dS \\
&\qquad - \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\} \bigcup \{u=1-\delta^-\}} F(u_j - 1) \, \varphi \cdot n \, dS \\
&\qquad - \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \left[\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u_j - 1}{\varepsilon_j}\right) - F(u_j - 1) \right] \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \left(\nabla u_j \, D\varphi \cdot \nabla u_j - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_j|^2 \operatorname{div} \varphi \right) dx \\
&=: \frac{I_1}{2} - I_2 - I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_j \to u$ uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$, strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, and locally in $C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial \{u > 1\})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\to \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\} \bigcup \{u=1-\delta^-\}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 \, \varphi - 2 (\nabla u \cdot \varphi) \nabla u \right) \cdot n \, dS + \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\}} 2 \, \varphi \cdot n \, dS \\
&= \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\}} \left(2 - |\nabla u|^2\right) \varphi \cdot n \, dS - \int_{\{u=1-\delta^-\}} |\nabla u|^2 \, \varphi \cdot n \, dS
\end{aligned}$$

since $n = \pm \nabla u / |\nabla u|$ on $\{u = 1 \pm \delta^\pm\}$, and

$$I_2 \to \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\}} F(u-1) \, \varphi \cdot n \, dS = F(\delta^+) \int_{\{u=1+\delta^+\}} \varphi \cdot n \, dS = F(\delta^+) \int_{\{u<1+\delta^+\}} \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx,$$

which goes to zero as $\delta^+ \searrow 0$ by (f_2). Since $0 \le \mathcal{B}((u_j-1)/\varepsilon_j) \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\le \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \big(1 + |F(u_j-1)|\big)\,|\operatorname{div}\varphi|\,dx \\ &\to \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \big(1 + |F(u-1)|\big)\,|\operatorname{div}\varphi|\,dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_4 \to \int_{\{1-\delta^- < u < 1+\delta^+\}} \left(\nabla u\, D\varphi \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{2}\,|\nabla u|^2\,\operatorname{div}\varphi\right) dx.$$

The last two integrals go to zero as $\delta^\pm \searrow 0$ since $|\{u=1\} \cap \operatorname{supp}\varphi| = 0$, so first letting $j \to \infty$ and then letting $\delta^\pm \searrow 0$ gives (3.12).

Since $f(u_j - 1) \xrightarrow{w^*} f(u-1)$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, the rest follows from Corollaries 7.1, 7.2 and Theorem 9.2 of Lederman and Wolanski [34]. □

4 Compactness and L^∞ estimates

In this section we prove Propositions 1.4 and 1.5. We have

$$J_\varepsilon(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(|\nabla u^+|^2 + |\nabla u^-|^2\right) + \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{u^+}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\,(u^+)^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2^*}\,(u^+)^{2^*}\right] dx$$

and

$$J'_\varepsilon(u)\,v = \int_\Omega \left[\left(\nabla u^+ + \nabla u^-\right)\cdot\nabla v + \beta\left(\frac{u^+}{\varepsilon}\right)\frac{v}{\varepsilon} - \lambda\,u^+ v - \kappa\,(u^+)^{2^*-1} v\right] dx, \quad v \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

We will make repeated use of the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For all $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$,*

$$\frac{\kappa}{N}\int_\Omega (u^+)^{2^*} dx \le J_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{2}\,J'_\varepsilon(u)\,u^+ + |\Omega|\,.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}(t) \ge 0$ for all t,

$$J_\varepsilon(u) \ge \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}\,|\nabla u^+|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\,(u^+)^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2^*}\,(u^+)^{2^*}\right] dx,$$

and since $\beta(t)\,t \le 2$ for all t,

$$J'_\varepsilon(u)\,u^+ \le \int_\Omega \left[|\nabla u^+|^2 - \lambda\,(u^+)^2 - \kappa\,(u^+)^{2^*}\right] dx + 2\,|\Omega|\,. \quad \square$$

In the absence of a compact Sobolev imbedding, the main technical tool we use here to prove the (PS) condition is the following concentration compactness principle of Lions [35, 36].

Proposition 4.2. *Let (u_j) be a sequence in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $u_j \rightharpoonup u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $|\nabla u_j|^2\,dx \overset{w^*}{\longrightarrow} \mu$, $|u_j|^{2^*}\,dx \overset{w^*}{\longrightarrow} \nu$ in the sense of measures, where μ and ν are bounded nonnegative measures on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then there exist an at most countable index set I and points $x_i \in \overline{\Omega}$, $i \in I$ such that*

(*i*) $\nu = |u|^{2^*}\,dx + \sum_{i\in I} \nu_i\,\delta_{x_i}$, *where each* $\nu_i > 0$,

(*ii*) $\mu \ge |\nabla u|^2\,dx + \sum_{i\in I} \mu_i\,\delta_{x_i}$, *where each* $\mu_i > 0$ *satisfies* $(\nu_i)^{2/2^*} \le \mu_i/S$, *in particular,* $\sum_{i\in I} (\nu_i)^{2/2^*} < \infty$.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let $0 < \kappa < \kappa^*$, $c \le M$, and $(u_j) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a $(\mathrm{PS})_c$ sequence. Since $\mathcal{B} \ge 0$,

$$\|u_j\|^2 \le 2J_\varepsilon(u_j) + \lambda \int_\Omega (u_j^+)^2\,dx + \frac{2\kappa}{2^*} \int_\Omega (u_j^+)^{2^*}\,dx.$$

We have

$$\int_\Omega (u_j^+)^{2^*}\,dx = \mathrm{O}(1)\,\big(\|u_j\| + 1\big)$$

by Lemma 4.1, and hence

$$\int_\Omega (u_j^+)^2\,dx = \mathrm{O}(1)\,\big(\,\|u_j\|^{2/2^*} + 1\big)$$

by the Hölder inequality. It follows that (u_j) is bounded, and hence so is (u_j^+).

Passing to a subsequence, u_j^+ converges to some $v \ge 0$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \le p < 2^*$ and a.e. in Ω, and

$$|\nabla u_j^+|^2\,dx \overset{w^*}{\longrightarrow} \mu, \qquad (u_j^+)^{2^*}\,dx \overset{w^*}{\longrightarrow} \nu \tag{4.1}$$

in the sense of measures, where μ and ν are bounded nonnegative measures on $\overline{\Omega}$ (see, e.g., Folland [21]). By Proposition 4.2, there exist an at most countable index set I and points $x_i \in \overline{\Omega}$, $i \in I$ such that

$$\nu = v^{2^*}\,dx + \sum_{i\in I} \nu_i\,\delta_{x_i}, \qquad \mu \ge |\nabla v|^2\,dx + \sum_{i\in I} \mu_i\,\delta_{x_i}, \tag{4.2}$$

where ν_i, $\mu_i > 0$ and $(\nu_i)^{2/2^*} \le \mu_i/S$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$. For $i \in I$ and $\rho > 0$, set

$$\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{\rho}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and note that $\varphi_{i,\rho} : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0,1]$ is a smooth function such that $\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) = 1$ for $|x - x_i| \le \rho$ and $\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) = 0$ for $|x - x_i| \ge 2\rho$. Moreover, the sequence $(\varphi_{i,\rho}\, u_j^+)$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and hence $J'_\varepsilon(u_j)\,(\varphi_{i,\rho}\, u_j^+) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. We have

$$J'_\varepsilon(u_j)\,(\varphi_{i,\rho}\, u_j^+) \ge \int_\Omega \left[\varphi_{i,\rho}\,|\nabla u_j^+|^2 + u_j^+\,\nabla u_j^+ \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i,\rho} - \lambda\,\varphi_{i,\rho}\,(u_j^+)^2 - \kappa\,\varphi_{i,\rho}\,(u_j^+)^{2^*}\right] dx. \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.1),

$$\int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,|\nabla u_j^+|^2\,dx \to \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,d\mu, \qquad \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,(u_j^+)^{2^*}\,dx \to \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,d\nu,$$

and

$$\int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,(u_j^+)^2\,dx \to \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,v^2\,dx \le \int_{\Omega \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^2\,dx.$$

Denoting by C a generic positive constant independent of j and ρ,

$$\left|\int_\Omega u_j^+\,\nabla u_j^+ \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i,\rho}\,dx\right| \le \frac{C}{\rho}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} (u_j^+)^2\,dx\right)^{1/2} \to \frac{C}{\rho}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^2\,dx\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le C\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^{2^*}\,dx\right)^{1/2^*}$$

by the Hölder inequality. So letting $j \to \infty$ in (4.3) gives

$$\int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,d\mu - \kappa \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,d\nu \le \lambda \int_{\Omega \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^2\,dx + C\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^{2^*}\,dx\right)^{1/2^*}.$$

Letting $\rho \searrow 0$ and using (4.2) now gives $\mu_i \le \kappa \nu_i$, which together with $(\nu_i)^{2/2^*} \le \mu_i / S$ then gives $\nu_i \ge (S/\kappa)^{N/2}$. In particular, I is a finite set since ν is a bounded measure.

Since (u_j^+) is bounded, taking $u = u_j$ in Lemma 4.1 and passing to the limit using (4.1) gives

$$\frac{\kappa}{N}\int_\Omega d\nu \le c + |\Omega|\,,$$

which together with (4.2) and $c \le M$ then gives

$$\kappa \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \le N(M + |\Omega|).$$

Since each $\nu_i \ge (S/\kappa)^{N/2}$ and $\kappa < \kappa^*$, this implies that $I = \emptyset$ and hence

$$\int_\Omega (u_j^+)^{2^*} dx \to \int_\Omega v^{2^*} dx. \tag{4.4}$$

Since (u_j) is bounded, a further subsequence converges to some u weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \le p < 2^*$, and a.e. in Ω. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(u_j - 1)_+^{2^*-1}(u_j - u)\right| &= \left|(u_j^+)^{2^*-1}(u_j^+ + u_j^- - u)\right| \\ &= \left|(u_j^+)^{2^*} + (u_j^+)^{2^*-1} - (u_j^+)^{2^*-1} u\right| \le \left(2 - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)(u_j^+)^{2^*} + \frac{1}{2^*}(|u| + 1)^{2^*} \end{aligned}$$

by Young's inequality, so

$$\int_\Omega (u_j - 1)_+^{2^*-1}(u_j - u)\, dx \to 0$$

by (4.4) and the dominated convergence theorem. Then $u_j \to u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by a standard argument. □

Now we turn to the proof of Proposition 1.5. If u is a critical point of J_ε, then u is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\beta\left(\dfrac{u-1}{\varepsilon}\right) + \lambda(u-1)_+ + \kappa(u-1)_+^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

and hence also a classical solution by elliptic regularity theory. By the maximum principle, either $u > 0$ in Ω or u vanishes identically. We make use of the following L^∞ bound, obtained in Perera and Silva [37], for weak solutions of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = h(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

where h is a Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times (0,\infty)$ satisfying

(h) $\exists\, r > N/2$ and $a \in L^r(\Omega)$ such that $h(x,t) \le a(x)\, t$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$.

Proposition 4.3 ([37, Lemma A.1 & Remark A.3]). *Suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (4.6). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on Ω, $\|a\|_r$ and $\|u\|_2$, such that*

$$\|u\|_\infty \le C.$$

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Let u be a critical point of J_ε with $J_\varepsilon(u) \le M$. Then u is a weak solution of problem (4.6) with

$$h(x,t) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\,\beta\left(\frac{t-1}{\varepsilon}\right) + \lambda\,(t-1)_+ + \kappa\,(u(x)-1)_+^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\,(t-1)_+, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty).$$

We have

$$h(x,t) \le \left[\lambda + \kappa\,u(x)^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\right] t = \left[\lambda + \kappa\,w(x)^{4/N}\right] t \quad \forall x \in \Omega,\ t > 0,$$

where $w = u^{\frac{N}{N-2}}$. We will show that if $0 < \kappa < \kappa_*$, then for

$$r = \frac{N^2}{2\,(N-2)} > \frac{N}{2},$$

$\|w^{4/N}\|_r = \|w\|_{2^*}^{4/N}$ has a bound that depends only on $\|u\|_{2^*}$, N, M, $|\Omega|$, κ and λ. Since $\|u\|_{2^*}$ and $\|u\|_2$ have bounds that depend only on N, M, $|\Omega|$, and κ as noted in the paragraph before the statement of Proposition 1.5, the conclusion will then follow from Proposition 4.3.

By (1.4),

$$S\left(\int_\Omega w^{2^*}\,dx\right)^{2/2^*} \le \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2\,dx = \left(\frac{N}{N-2}\right)^2 \int_\Omega u^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\,|\nabla u|^2\,dx. \tag{4.7}$$

Noting that $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ by standard regularity arguments and testing (4.5) with u^{2^*-1} gives

$$\frac{N+2}{N-2}\int_\Omega u^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\,|\nabla u|^2\,dx \le \lambda\int_\Omega u^{2^*}\,dx + \kappa\int_\Omega w^2\,(u^+)^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\,dx. \tag{4.8}$$

By the Hölder inequality and (1.5),

$$\int_\Omega w^2\,(u^+)^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\,dx \le \left(\int_\Omega w^{2^*}\,dx\right)^{2/2^*}\left(\frac{N(M+|\Omega|)}{\kappa}\right)^{2/N}. \tag{4.9}$$

Combining (4.7)–(4.9) gives

$$\left[\left(1-\frac{4}{N^2}\right)S - \kappa^{\frac{N-2}{N}}\left[N(M+|\Omega|)\right]^{2/N}\right]\|w\|_{2^*}^2 \le \lambda\,\|u\|_{2^*}^{2^*}.$$

Since $\kappa < \kappa_*$, this gives the required bound for $\|w\|_{2^*}$. □

5 Existence of a ground state

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. We have

$$J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{2^*}\right)|u|^{2^*}\right] dx \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{2^*}\right) S^{-\frac{N}{N-2}} \|u\|^{2^*}$$

by (1.4), so there exists a constant $\rho > 0$, depending only on N, κ and λ, such that

$$\|u\| \leq \rho \implies J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{3}\|u\|^2.$$

Moreover,

$$J_\varepsilon(u) \leq \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + 1 - \frac{\kappa}{2^*}(u^+)^{2^*}\right] dx$$

and hence there exists a function $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $J_\varepsilon(u_0) < 0 = J_\varepsilon(0)$. So the class of paths

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], H_0^1(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0,\ J_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0\right\}$$

is nonempty and

$$c_\varepsilon := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\varepsilon} \max_{u\in\gamma([0,1])} J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{\rho^2}{3}. \tag{5.1}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}((t-1)/\varepsilon) \leq \chi_{\{t>1\}}$ for all t, $J_\varepsilon(u) \leq J(u)$ for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. So $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_\varepsilon$ and

$$c_\varepsilon \leq \max_{u\in\gamma([0,1])} J_\varepsilon(u) \leq \max_{u\in\gamma([0,1])} J(u) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

and hence

$$c_\varepsilon \leq c. \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Given $\lambda_* > \lambda_1$, there exists a constant $M_{\lambda_*} > 0$, depending only on λ_* and Ω, such that $c \leq M_{\lambda_*}$ for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ and $\kappa > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_1 > 0$ be an eigenfunction associated with λ_1. Then

$$J(t\varphi_1) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{t^2}{2}|\nabla\varphi_1|^2 + \chi_{\{t\varphi_1>1\}}(x) - \frac{\lambda}{2}(t\varphi_1 - 1)_+^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2^*}(t\varphi_1 - 1)_+^{2^*}\right] dx \to -\infty$$

as $t \to \infty$. Taking $t_0 > 0$ so large that $J(t_0\varphi_1) < 0$ and reparametrizing the path $[0, t_0] \to H_0^1(\Omega)$, $t \mapsto t\varphi_1$ gives a path in Γ on which J is less than or equal to

$$M_\lambda := \sup_{t\geq 0} \int_\Omega \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{2}t^2\varphi_1^2 + 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}(t\varphi_1 - 1)_+^2\right] dx,$$

which is finite for $\lambda > \lambda_1$, so $c \leq M_\lambda$. Clearly, $M_\lambda \leq M_{\lambda_*}$ if $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\lambda_* > \lambda_1$, let $M_{\lambda_*} > 0$ be as in Lemma 5.1, let $\kappa^* > \kappa_* > 0$ be as in Propositions 1.4 and 1.5, respectively, with $M = M_{\lambda_*}$, and let $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ and $0 < \kappa < \kappa_*$. Then $c_\varepsilon \leq c \leq M_{\lambda_*}$ by (5.2) and Lemma 5.1, so J_ε satisfies the $(\mathrm{PS})_{c_\varepsilon}$ condition by Proposition 1.4, and hence J_ε has a critical point u_ε at the level c_ε by a standard argument. Take a sequence $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ and let $u_j = u_{\varepsilon_j}$, $c_j = c_{\varepsilon_j}$. Then (u_j) is bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ by Proposition 1.5 and the paragraph preceding the proposition. Hence a renamed subsequence converges, uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ and strongly in $H^1_0(\Omega)$, to a locally Lipschitz continuous function $u \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\{u > 1\}) \cap C^2(\Omega \setminus \partial\{u > 1\})$ by Theorem 1.3 (i) and (ii). Moreover, $\limsup c_j \geq \rho^2/3 > 0$ by (5.1) and hence u is nontrivial by Theorem 1.3 (iii).

Also by Theorem 1.3, u satisfies the equation $-\Delta u = \lambda (u-1)_+ + \kappa (u-1)_+^{2^*-1}$ in the classical sense in $\Omega \setminus \partial\{u > 1\}$, the free boundary condition $|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 2$ in the generalized sense (1.2), and vanishes on $\partial\Omega$. In particular, $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and hence

$$\inf_{\mathcal{M}} J \leq J(u).$$

Since we also have

$$J(u) \leq \liminf c_j \leq \limsup c_j \leq c \leq \inf_{\mathcal{M}} J$$

by Theorem 1.3 (iii), (5.2), and Proposition 2.1, then

$$J(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} J = c,$$

so u is a ground state at the level $c \geq \rho^2/3 > 0$. Hence u is a mountain pass point of J by Proposition 2.1 and u is nondegenerate by Theorem 1.1. The rest then follows from the last part of Theorem 1.3. □

References

[1] H. W. Alt and L. A. Caffarelli. Existence and regularity for a minimum problem with free boundary. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 325:105–144, 1981.

[2] H. W. Alt, L. A. Caffarelli, and A. Friedman. Variational problems with two phases and their free boundaries. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 282(2):431–461, 1984.

[3] A. Ambrosetti and M. Struwe. A note on the problem $-\Delta u = \lambda u + u|u|^{2^*-2}$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 54(4):373–379, 1986.

[4] H. Berestycki, L. A. Caffarelli, and L. Nirenberg. Uniform estimates for regularization of free boundary problems. In *Analysis and partial differential equations*, volume 122 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 567–619. Dekker, New York, 1990.

[5] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg. Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36(4):437–477, 1983.

[6] L. Caffarelli and S. Salsa. *A geometric approach to free boundary problems*, volume 68 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[7] L. A. Caffarelli. A Harnack inequality approach to the regularity of free boundaries. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(S, suppl.):S41–S45, 1986. Frontiers of the mathematical sciences: 1985 (New York, 1985).

[8] L. A. Caffarelli. A Harnack inequality approach to the regularity of free boundaries. I. Lipschitz free boundaries are $C^{1,\alpha}$. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 3(2):139–162, 1987.

[9] L. A. Caffarelli. A Harnack inequality approach to the regularity of free boundaries. III. Existence theory, compactness, and dependence on X. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 15(4):583–602 (1989), 1988.

[10] L. A. Caffarelli. A Harnack inequality approach to the regularity of free boundaries. II. Flat free boundaries are Lipschitz. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(1):55–78, 1989.

[11] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman. Asymptotic estimates for the plasma problem. *Duke Math. J.*, 47(3):705–742, 1980.

[12] L. A. Caffarelli, D. Jerison, and C. E. Kenig. Some new monotonicity theorems with applications to free boundary problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(2):369–404, 2002.

[13] L. A. Caffarelli, D. Jerison, and C. E. Kenig. Global energy minimizers for free boundary problems and full regularity in three dimensions. In *Noncompact problems at the intersection of geometry, analysis, and topology*, volume 350 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 83–97. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[14] A. Capozzi, D. Fortunato, and G. Palmieri. An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 2(6):463–470, 1985.

[15] G. Cerami, D. Fortunato, and M. Struwe. Bifurcation and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 1(5):341–350, 1984.

[16] D. G. Costa and E. A. Silva. A note on problems involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Differential Integral Equations*, 8(3):673–679, 1995.

[17] E. A. D. B. Silva and S. H. M. Soares. Quasilinear Dirichlet problems in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with critical growth. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 43(1):1–20, 2001.

[18] D. D. Silva and D. Jerison. A singular energy minimizing free boundary. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 635:1–21, 2009.

[19] P. Drábek and Y. X. Huang. Multiplicity of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation in $\mathbf{R}^N$ with critical Sobolev exponent. *J. Differential Equations*, 140(1):106–132, 1997.

[20] M. Flucher and J. Wei. Asymptotic shape and location of small cores in elliptic free-boundary problems. *Math. Z.*, 228(4):683–703, 1998.

[21] G. B. Folland. *Real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[22] A. Friedman. *Variational principles and free-boundary problems*. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Malabar, FL, second edition, 1988.

[23] A. Friedman and Y. Liu. A free boundary problem arising in magnetohydrodynamic system. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 22(3):375–448, 1995.

[24] J. G. Azorero and I. P. Alonso. Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 323(2):877–895, 1991.

[25] F. Gazzola and B. Ruf. Lower-order perturbations of critical growth nonlinearities in semilinear elliptic equations. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 2(4):555–572, 1997.

[26] N. Ghoussoub and C. Yuan. Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(12):5703–5743, 2000.

[27] J. V. Gonçalves and C. O. Alves. Existence of positive solutions for m-Laplacian equations in $\mathbf{R}^N$ involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 32(1):53–70, 1998.

[28] M. Guedda and L. Véron. Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 13(8):879–902, 1989.

[29] H. Hofer. A geometric description of the neighbourhood of a critical point given by the mountain-pass theorem. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 31(3):566–570, 1985.

[30] D. Jerison and K. Perera. Existence and regularity of higher critical points in elliptic free boundary problems. preprint.

[31] D. Jerison and K. Perera. A multiplicity result for the prandtl-batchelor free boundary problem. preprint.

[32] D. Kinderlehrer and L. Nirenberg. Regularity in free boundary problems. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 4(2):373–391, 1977.

[33] C. Lederman and N. Wolanski. Viscosity solutions and regularity of the free boundary for the limit of an elliptic two phase singular perturbation problem. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 27(2):253–288 (1999), 1998.

[34] C. Lederman and N. Wolanski. A two phase elliptic singular perturbation problem with a forcing term. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 86(6):552–589, 2006.

[35] P. L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 1(1):145–201, 1985.

[36] P. L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. II. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 1(2):45–121, 1985.

[37] K. Perera and E. A. B. Silva. On singular p-Laplacian problems. *Differential Integral Equations*, 20(1):105–120, 2007.

[38] M. Shibata. Asymptotic shape of a least energy solution to an elliptic free-boundary problem with non-autonomous nonlinearity. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 31(1):1–42, 2002.

[39] E. A. B. Silva and M. S. Xavier. Multiplicity of solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 20(2):341–358, 2003.

[40] R. Temam. A non-linear eigenvalue problem: the shape at equilibrium of a confined plasma. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 60(1):51–73, 1975/76.

[41] R. Temam. Remarks on a free boundary value problem arising in plasma physics. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 2(6):563–585, 1977.

[42] Z. H. Wei and X. M. Wu. A multiplicity result for quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 18(6):559–567, 1992.

[43] G. S. Weiss. Partial regularity for weak solutions of an elliptic free boundary problem. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 23(3-4):439–455, 1998.

[44] G. S. Weiss. Partial regularity for a minimum problem with free boundary. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 9(2):317–326, 1999.